

Prof. Dr. Louis Velthuis


Value Based Management (FB03_WiWi_WS1617_30)
 Erfasste Fragebögen/number of questionnaires analyzed = 43



Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




2.

2.1)	1. The course is coherently structured.	fully applies	33,3% 54,8% 11,9% 0% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,79 s=0,65
2.2)	2. Individual lectures are coherently structured.	fully applies	34,9% 48,8% 14% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,84 s=0,75
2.3)	3. The course has a clear objective.	fully applies	37,2% 48,8% 11,6% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,79 s=0,74
2.4)	4. The lecturer uses examples to illustrate the material presented.	fully applies	51,2% 37,2% 2,3% 9,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,7 s=0,91
2.5)	5. The relevance and practical importance of the material have been made clear.	fully applies	45,2% 42,9% 11,9% 0% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,67 s=0,69
2.6)	6. The lecturer encourages students to critically assess the material presented in class.	fully applies	38,1% 35,7% 21,4% 2,4% 2,4% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,95 s=0,96
2.7)	7. The overall presentation is clear.	fully applies	41,9% 39,5% 16,3% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,79 s=0,8
2.8)	8. The lecturer is well prepared.	fully applies	67,4% 25,6% 4,7% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,42 s=0,7
2.9)	9. The lecturer speaks clearly.	fully applies	51,2% 30,2% 16,3% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,7 s=0,83

2.10)	10. The lecturer uses didactical tools (e.g. transparencies, blackboard, projector) in a useful way.	fully applies	57,1% 31% 7,1% 2,4% 2,4% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,62 s=0,91
2.11)	11. Students are provided with useful teaching materials (handouts, lecture notes, references, etc.).	fully applies	54,8% 28,6% 11,9% 2,4% 2,4% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,69 s=0,95
2.12)	12. The lecturer is highly motivated.	fully applies	74,4% 23,3% 2,3% 0% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,28 s=0,5
2.13)	13. The lecturer is able to motivate course participants.	fully applies	44,2% 44,2% 9,3% 2,3% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=1,7 s=0,74
2.14)	14. The lecturer is cooperative and open-minded.	fully applies	69% 21,4% 9,5% 0% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,4 s=0,66
2.15)	15. Compared to other courses, the students attending this lecture have a high skill level.	fully applies	20,9% 30,2% 16,3% 23,3% 2,3% 2,3% 4,7%	does not apply	n=43 mw=2,81 s=1,56
2.16)	16. The course substantially contributed to improving my knowledge on this topic.	fully applies	40,5% 38,1% 19% 2,4% 0% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,83 s=0,82
2.17)	17. I have learned something meaningful and important.	fully applies	33,3% 38,1% 26,2% 0% 0% 0% 2,4%	does not apply	n=42 mw=2,05 s=1,1
2.18)	18. The course content overlaps with the content of other courses.	fully applies	4,8% 11,9% 9,5% 11,9% 9,5% 26,2% 26,2%	does not apply	n=42 mw=4,93 s=1,93
2.19)	19. I was interested in the topic of this course.	fully applies	36,6% 26,8% 24,4% 4,9% 7,3% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=41 mw=2,2 s=1,21
2.20)	20. The lecturer gives helpful feedback on students' contributions.	fully applies	45,2% 33,3% 14,3% 4,8% 2,4% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=42 mw=1,86 s=1
2.21)	21. The overall support for this course is good.	fully applies	27,5% 40% 22,5% 7,5% 2,5% 0% 0%	does not apply	n=40 mw=2,18 s=1,01
2.22)	22. I regularly prepare and follow up on this course.	fully applies	16,3% 23,3% 25,6% 23,3% 7% 2,3% 2,3%	does not apply	n=43 mw=2,98 s=1,42



3.




4.




5.

5.1) 35. All in all, I would grade the lecture with:




9.

9.1) 39. I am studying in the...




11.

11.1) 41. The reason for attending this course: (Multiple answers possible)



12.

12.1) 42. How often did you miss lectures?:









Profillinie

Teilbereich: FB 03 - Wirtschaftswissenschaften
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Louis Velthuis
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Value Based Management (FB03_WiWi_WS1617_30)
 (Name der Umfrage)


Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

2.







2.25)	25. The lecturer does a good job in moderating discussions (encouraging contributions, commenting on contributions, timing, etc.).	fully applies		does not apply	n=35 mw=1,66 md=2,00 s=0,76
2.26)	26. The course enhanced my interest in studying.	fully applies		does not apply	n=43 mw=2,14 md=2,00 s=0,83
2.27)	27. The course encouraged me to further delve into the subject.	fully applies		does not apply	n=42 mw=2,55 md=3,00 s=1,02
2.28)	28. The course suffered from noise, students' conversations, etc.	fully applies		does not apply	n=40 mw=5,75 md=6,00 s=1,74
2.29)	29. Conditions in the lecture room (e.g. room size, equipment) were appropriate.	fully applies		does not apply	n=41 mw=1,83 md=2,00 s=0,97

3.

3.1)	30. How would you assess your personal performance in this course?	excellent		insufficient	n=39 mw=2,69 md=3,00 s=0,89
------	--	-----------	---	--------------	-----------------------------

4.

4.1)	31. The amount of material presented was...	far too small		far too extensive	n=43 mw=4,49 md=4,00 s=0,83
4.2)	32. The pace of the lecture was...	far too quick		far too slow	n=43 mw=3,91 md=4,00 s=0,72
4.3)	33. The skill requirements were...	far too low		far too high	n=43 mw=4,28 md=4,00 s=0,70
4.4)	34. In order to follow the lecture, my previous knowledge was...	far too small		far too extensive (no attendance)	n=43 mw=3,65 md=4,00 s=0,75

5.

5.1)	35. All in all, I would grade the lecture with:	excellent		insufficient	n=42 mw=1,74 md=2,00 s=0,54
------	---	-----------	---	--------------	-----------------------------