
Prof. Dr. Louis Velthuis JG Value Based Management (VEL_WS11_04) UNIVERSITÄT MAIN Erfasste Fragebögen/number of questionnaires analyzed = 46 Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen Legende Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw. Mittelwert 25% 0% 50% 0% 25% 0% 0% Fragetext n=Anzahl mw=Mittelwert s=Std.-Abw. E.=Enthaltung Linker Pol Rechter Pol ì 2 3 5 6 1 4 7 Skala Histogramm 28.3% 43.5% 13% 2.2% 0% 4.3% 8.7% 1. The course is coherently structured. n=46 mw=2.5 s=1.8 full applies does not apply 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 24 4% 46 7% 15 6% 4 4% 6 7% 2 2% 0% 2. Individual lectures are coherently structured. n=45 full applies does not apply mw=2.3 s=1.2 4 5 2 3 23.7% 52.6% 13.2% 5.3% 5.3% 0% 0% n=38 mw=2.2 s=1 3. The course has a clear objective. full applies does not apply S 4 0% 38.6% 45.5% 4.5% 11.4% 0% 0% 4. The instructor uses examples to illustrate the material n=44 mw=1.9 s=0.9 full applies does not apply presented. 4 5 6 2 3 22.7% 50% 15.9% 6.8% 2.3% 0% 2.3% 5. The relevance and practical importance of the n=44 mw=2.3 s=1.2 full applies does not apply material have been made clear. 5 2 3 4 21.4% 45.2% 16.7% 7.1% 4.8% 2.4% 2.4% n=42 mw=2.5 s=1.4 6. The instructor encourages students to critically full applies does not apply assess the material presented in class. 4 5 2 26.1% 52.2% 10.9% 2.2% 8.7% 0% 0% 7. The overall presentation is clear. n=46 mw=2.2 s=1.1 full applies does not apply 2 3 5 32.6% 52.2% 6.5% 2.2% 6.5% 0% 0% n=46 mw=2 s=1 8. The instructor is well prepared. full applies does not apply 5 2 3 Δ 6 26.1% 45.7% 19.6% 4.3% 2.2% 2.2% 0% 9. The instructor speaks clearly. n=46 full applies does not apply mw=2.2 s=1.1 2 4 5 6 3

		FIUL DL LOUIS VE	linuis, value baseu	wanayemen
10. The instructor uses didactical tools (e.g. transparencies, blackboard, projector) in a useful way.	full applies	35.7% 38.1% 16.7% 4.8% 0% 4.8% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.1 s=1.2 E.=3
11. Students are provided with useful teaching materials (handouts, lecture notes, references, etc.).	full applies	44.2% 27.9% 14% 2.3% 4.7% 2.3% 4.7% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.2 s=1.6
12. The instructor is highly motivated.	full applies	46.7% 35.6% 4.4% 2.2% 6.7% 4.4% 0%	does not apply	n=45 mw=2 s=1.4
13. The instructor is able to motivate course participants.	full applies	37% 34.8% 6.5% 10.9% 8.7% 2.2% 0%	does not apply	n=46 mw=2.3 s=1.4
14. The instructor is cooperative and open-minded.	full applies	40.5% 45.9% 8.1% 2.7% 2.7% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=37 mw=1.8 s=0.9
15. The instructor is very proficient in his field.	full applies	34.9% 37.2% 14% 7% 2.3% 2.3% 2.3% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.2 s=1.4
16. Compared to other courses, the students attending this lecture have a high skill level.	full applies	9.8% 43.9% 22% 22% 2.4% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=41 mw=2.6 s=1
17. The course substantially contributed to improving my knowledge on this topic.	full applies	20.5% 36.4% 27.3% 9.1% 4.5% 2.3% 0%	does not apply	n=44 mw=2.5 s=1.2
18. I have learned something meaningful and important.	full applies	11.4% 37.1% 25.7% 11.4% 2.9% 11.4% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=35 mw=2.9 s=1.5
19. The course complimented the according lecture.	full applies	22.7% 45.5% 13.6% 6.8% 6.8% 2.3% 2.3% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=44 mw=2.5 s=1.4
20. The course content overlaps with the content of other courses.	full applies	10.5% 21.1% 7.9% 23.7% 5.3% 15.8% 15.8% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=38 mw=4 s=2
21. I was interested in the topic of this course.	full applies	18.6% 39.5% 14% 16.3% 4.7% 2.3% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.7 s=1.5
22. The instructor gives helpful feedback on students' contributions.	full applies	17.8% 51.1% 17.8% 2.2% 2.2% 4.4% 4.4% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=45 mw=2.5 s=1.5

23. The overall support for this course is good.	full applies	19.5% 41.5% 7.3% 9.8% 9.8% 7.3% 4.9% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=41 mw=2.9 s=1.8
24. I regularly prepare and follow up on this course.	full applies	7.5% 30% 30% 20% 7.5% 5% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=40 mw=3.1 s=1.3
25. The work load associated with this course is larger than for other courses.	full applies	14.3% 35.7% 21.4% 21.4% 7.1% 0% 0% 14.3% 35.7% 21.4% 21.4% 7.1% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.7 s=1.2
26. The lecturer encourages students to ask questions and to actively participate.	full applies	31% 40.5% 23.8% 0% 2.4% 0% 2.4% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.1 s=1.2
27. The lecturer does a good job in moderating discussions (encouraging contributions, commenting on contributions, timing, etc.).	full applies	33.3% 50% 13.9% 2.8% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=36 mw=1.9 s=0.8 E.=8
28. The course enhanced my interest in studying.	full applies	24.4% 22.2% 33.3% 13.3% 2.2% 4.4% 0%	does not apply	n=45 mw=2.6 s=1.3
29. The course encouraged me to further delve into the subject.	full applies	23.3% 32.6% 23.3% 9.3% 9.3% 2.3% 0%	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.6 s=1.3
30. The course suffered from noise, students' conservations, etc.	full applies	4.4% 2.2% 6.7% 4.4% 8.9% 33.3% 40%	does not apply	n=45 mw=5.7 s=1.6
31. Conditions in the lecture room (e.g. room size, equipment) were appropriate.	full applies	52.3% 34.1% 6.8% 4.5% 2.3% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	does not apply	n=44 mw=1.7 s=1
32. How would you assess your personal performance in this course?	excellent	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	insufficient	n=45 mw=3 s=1
33. The amount of material presented was	far too small	0% 4.4% 6.7% 62.2% 24.4% 0% 2.2% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	far too extensive	n=45 mw=4.2 s=0.8
34. The pace of the lecture was	far too quick	2.3% 2.3% 18.2% 68.2% 9.1% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	far too slow	n=44 mw=3.8 s=0.7



43. I am studying in the ...

1. ()	2.3% n=44
2.	6.8%
3.	34.1%
5. ()	2.3%
8.	4.5%
9.	6.8%
10.	20.5%
11.	11.4%
12.	6.8%
13. ()	2.3%
14. []	2.3%

44. Which program are you enrolled in? (Multiple answers possible)

0	4.3%	n=46
	0%	
	45.7%	
	0%	
	0%	
	0%	
0	2.2%	
	0%	
	0%	
	37%	
	8.7%	
	0%	
		4.3% 0% 45.7% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 37% 8.7%

45. The reason for attending this course: (Multiple answers possible)

compulsory lecture (71.7%	n=46
lecturer	21.7%	
timing	6.5%	
interest, topic (32.6%	

46. How often did you miss lectures?:

never		22.5%	n=40
once or twice		55%	
3-4 times		15%	
5-6 times	0	2.5%	
more than 6 times		5%	

n=46

Profillinie

T

T

T.

Т

Т

1. . .

Teilbereich:

Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Louis Velthuis Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Value Based Management (VEL_WS11_04) (Name der Umfrage)

FB 03 - Wirtschaftswissenschaften

1. The course is coherently structured.

2. Individual lectures are coherently structured.

3. The course has a clear objective.

4. The instructor uses examples to illustrate the material presented.

5. The relevance and practical importance of the material have been made clear.

6. The instructor encourages students to critically assess the material presented in class

7. The overall presentation is clear.

8. The instructor is well prepared.

9. The instructor speaks clearly.

10. The instructor uses didactical tools (e.g. transparencies, blackboard, projector) in a useful way

11. Students are provided with useful teaching materials (handouts, lecture notes, references, etc.).

12. The instructor is highly motivated.

13. The instructor is able to motivate course participants.

14. The instructor is cooperative and open-minded.

15. The instructor is very proficient in his field.

16. Compared to other courses, the students attending this lecture have a high skill level.

17. The course substantially contributed to improving my knowledge on this topic.

18. I have learned something meaningful and important.

19. The course complimented the according lecture.

20. The course content overlaps with the content of other courses.

21. I was interested in the topic of this course.

22. The instructor gives helpful feedback on students' contributions

23. The overall support for this course is good.

24. I regularly prepare and follow up on this course.

25. The work load associated with this course is larger than for other courses.

full applies	does not apply	mw=2.5
full applies	does not apply	n=45 mw=2.3
full applies	does not apply	n=38 mw=2.2
full applies	does not apply	n=44 mw=1.9
full applies	does not apply	n=44 mw=2.3
full applies	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.5
full applies	does not apply	n=46 mw=2.2
full applies	does not apply	n=46 mw=2
full applies	does not apply	n=46 mw=2.2
full applies	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.1
full applies	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.2
full applies	does not apply	n=45 mw=2
full applies	does not apply	n=46 mw=2.3
full applies	does not apply	n=37 mw=1.8
full applies	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.2
full applies	does not apply	n=41 mw=2.6
full applies	does not apply	n=44 mw=2.5
full applies	does not apply	n=35 mw=2.9
full applies	does not apply	n=44 mw=2.5
full applies	does not apply	n=38 mw=4
full applies	does not apply	n=43 mw=2.7
full applies	does not apply	n=45 mw=2.5
full applies	does not apply	n=41 mw=2.9
full applies	does not apply	n=40 mw=3.1
full applies	does not apply	n=42 mw=2.7

26. The lecturer encourages students to ask questions and to actively participate.	full applies		n=42 mw=2.1
27. The lecturer does a good job in moderating discussions (encouraging contributions, commenting on contributions, timing, etc.).	full applies		n=36 mw=1.9
28. The course enhanced my interest in studying.	full applies		n=45 mw=2.6
29. The course encouraged me to further delve into the subject.	full applies		n=43 mw=2.6
0. The course suffered from noise, students' conservations, etc.	full applies		n=45 mw=5.7
1. Conditions in the lecture room (e.g. room size, equipment) were appropriate.	full applies		n=44 mw=1.7
2. How would you assess your personal performance in this course?	excellent		n=45 mw=3
3. The amount of material presented was	far too small		n=45 mw=4.2
4. The pace of the lecture was	far too quick		n=44 mw=3.8
5. The skill requirements were	far too low		n=44 mw=4.2
6. In order to follow the lecture, my previous knowledge was	far too small		n=44 mw=3.8
7. The amount of discussions was	far too little		n=44 mw=4
8. The amount of given exercises was	far too little		n=44 mw=3.5
9. All in all, I would grade the lecture with:	excellent	insufficient	n=45 mw=2.1